Minimally invasive facial rejuvenation combining thread lifting with liposuction: A clinical comparison with thread lifting alone
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INTRODUCTION

Facial aging is characterized by a progressive increase in the laxity of skin and soft tissue, superficial skin changes, and volume loss. Due to advances in the understanding and comprehension of these processes, many operations and procedures for facial rejuvenation have been devised [1,2].

However, patients now prefer minimally invasive procedures, which have few complications, allow a rapid recovery, and enable patients to quickly return to their daily activities [3]. Thread lifting is a minimally invasive procedure for facial rejuvenation that was introduced by Sulamanidze et al. [4] and has been recognized as a safe procedure with few major or minor complications.

However, some studies have pointed out that the facial rejuvenating effect after a procedure is lost over the course of long-term follow-up. Other studies have argued that thread lifting has limitations in terms of accomplishing the goals of facial rejuvenation, as it has little effectiveness and a short period of efficacy [5]. To resolve these concerns, other cosmetic procedures, such as fat grafts, laser treatment, and filler injections, have been combined with thread lifting [6,7].

In this study, we combined absorbable thread lifting with lipo-
suction and compared the outcomes of this combined procedure with those of conventional absorbable thread lifting alone, focusing on both the rejuvenating effect and the duration of effectiveness.

METHODS

This study was conducted by reviewing the charts of patients who underwent thread lifting procedures between July 2013 and December 2015. Patients’ medical records were reviewed retrospectively for information including age, clinical photographs, the procedures undertaken, and any complications.

Patients with a follow-up period of at least 6 months were enrolled in this study. To assess skin laxity, preoperative grading was performed using a 4-point scale (Table 1) [8].

Patients who had an active infectious disease that could alter wound healing and operation outcomes, had a history of psychiatric illness, or who had undergone previous facial procedures were excluded from this study.

The patients were divided into two groups according to the surgical procedure that was performed: thread lifting alone (group A) or thread lifting with liposuction (group B). The requirement for informed consent was waived, and this investigation was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Daegu Catholic University Medical Center (IRB No. CR-19-027).

Surgical techniques

Thread lifting with liposuction was performed using absorbable thread lifting and temporal anchoring. The thread used in this study was biodegradable polydioxanone minimal invasive nonsurgical thread (MINT Lift ML-1043; Hans Biomed, Seoul, Korea) and omega 41 (OV World, Seoul, Korea).

The preoperative design was made with the patient in an upright sitting position. We performed a preoperative pinch test and marked the boundary for liposuction depending on the amount of fatty tissue present. The exit points of the threads were between the following two lines: one from the lateral canthus to the submandibular angle, and the other a vertical line from the lateral canthus. The inter-terval distance of each thread was 1 cm. The route of the threads meant that the direction of lifting was almost vertical. The anchor site was 2 cm above the top of the ear around the superior temporal line, and, in some cases, careful shaving was performed to keep hairs from entering the anchoring site (Fig. 1).

After the design was made, liposuction was performed. A stab incision was applied by an infra-auricular incision or infra-jowl incision using a no. 11 scalpel blade, and 2.0 mL of lidocaine with 1:100,000

Table 1. Skin laxity grading scale [8]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Descriptive parameter</th>
<th>Laxity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>Localized to nasolabial folds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>Localized, nasolabial folds, early melolabial folds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Localized, nasolabial folds / melolabial folds, early jowls, early submental / submandibular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Localized, prominent nasolabial folds / melolabial folds, jowls and submental / submandibular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Advanced</td>
<td>Prominent nasolabial folds / melolabial folds, jowls and submental / submandibular, early neck strands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>Advanced</td>
<td>Deep nasolabial folds / melolabial folds, prominent jowls and submental / submandibular, prominent neck strands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>Marked nasolabial folds / melolabial folds, jowls and submental / submandibular, neck redundancy and strands</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1. A design for thread lifting with liposuction. The area for liposuction was marked depending on the amount of fatty tissue [red area]. The anchoring site was around the superior temporal line [blue area]. The exit point of the threads was in the area where liposuction was performed [white circle].
epinephrine tumescent solution was then injected (approximately 20–30 mL on each side). After sufficient pretunneling through the incision site, a 14-gauge spatula-type cannula was inserted for liposuction. During this procedure, a pinch test was performed to prevent formation of an irregular contour due to excessive liposuction.

After liposuction, absorbable threads were anchored in the deep temporal fascia of the anchoring site near the superior temporal line using curved needles. A threaded long needle was inserted into the hole, passed through the subcutaneous layer, and taken out at the designed exit point. By repeating this maneuver, a total of four threads were inserted on each side. The excess threads at the exit point were gently pulled and then cut out. The intermediate postoperative dimple was resolved through manual compression.

For patients in group A, thread lifting using absorbable threads was done in the same manner, but the liposuction process was omitted, and the number of threads inserted on each side ranged from eight to 14 depending on the facial surface area and the degree of slack.

**Evaluation of outcomes**
In order to evaluate the outcomes of the procedures, the results were measured using the global aesthetic improvement scale (GAIS), which was used as a reference parameter (Table 2) [9]. The value recorded was determined independently by three plastic surgeons (DGH, YBL, and SEK). We also checked the patient's subjective satisfaction using a 4-point scale (1, unsatisfied; 2, a little unsatisfied; 3, a little satisfied; and 4, very satisfied).

**RESULTS**

**Epidemiology**
Of the patients who underwent thread lifting with or without liposuction between July 2013 to May 2015, 27 were observed for at least 6 months. Group A included 11 patients, and group B included 16 patients. All patients were female, and their mean age was 43.03 (43.72 in group A and 42.56 in group B). There was no significant difference in the mean skin laxity grade between each group (2.31 in group A and 2.15 in group B). The average follow-up period was 8 months.

**Surgical results**
The mean GAIS score for the thread lifting with liposuction group (group B) was 1.85, and the mean score for the thread lifting–only group (group A) was 2.54. The mean satisfaction score was 3.06 in group B, while the mean score in group A was 2.36 (Tables 3, 4). There were no major complications requiring additional treatment,
Table 4. Summary of the GAIS score and satisfaction score in the thread lifting with liposuction group (group B)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient no.</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age (yr)</th>
<th>Follow-up period (mon)</th>
<th>Skin laxity grade</th>
<th>GAIS by surgeon 1</th>
<th>GAIS by surgeon 2</th>
<th>GAIS by surgeon 3</th>
<th>Satisfaction score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GAIS score: 1 (very much improved) to 5 (worsen); satisfaction score: 1 (unsatisfied) to 4 (very satisfied).
GAIS, global aesthetic improvement scale; F, female.

such as thread exposure, alopecia, or parotid gland injury. Five patients in group A and two patients in group B complained of ecchymosis. The ecchymosis lasted up to 3 weeks and did not require any treatment. All patients were able to return to their daily activities within 1 week of the procedure.

![Fig. 2. A 33-year-old patient had complaints about the fullness of her lower face. She underwent thread lifting only, using 10 threads on each side. (A) Preoperative view. (B) At a 2-week follow-up, her lower face fullness had improved. (C) At a 3-month follow-up, she had persistent lower face fullness.](image)

**DISCUSSION**

Due to the influence of mass media, recent standards of beauty have focused on facial youthfulness. This trend, in combination with the increasing life expectancy, have led to a rapid increase in
the number of operations and procedures related to facial rejuvenation [1,2]. In recent years, minimally invasive facial rejuvenation has come into the spotlight because of the low incidence of complications and the patient’s rapid return to a normal daily routine.

Thread lifting is used to improve the appearance of aging in the lower face, jaw line, malar fat pad, and mid-face. Techniques of thread lifting for various applications, such as eyebrow lifting, neck lifting, and sub-mental lifting, have been developed [10]. However, a still-controversial disadvantage of the thread lifting procedure is its short-lasting facial rejuvenating effect.

Rachel et al. [11] reported that the incidence of early recurrence was 45% when an anchored barbed suture was used. They also argued that barbed sutures did not satisfy the patients’ needs, although it was associated with lower morbidity and led to less downtime for the patients. Kaminer et al. [12] reported an average of 11.5 months of patient satisfaction after procedures using anchored...
barbed sutures. In this study, the result of procedure with only thread lifting alone shows early recurrence (Fig. 2) and short-lasting facial rejuvenating effect (Fig. 3). Abraham et al. [5] suggested that thread lifting procedures have poor sustainability and are not suitable for facial rejuvenation because they do not cause a volumetric change in the facial area; instead, they only change the position of soft tissue in the superficial plane. In view of this, attempts have been made to improve the effectiveness of thread lifting by using other procedures in parallel, including fat grafts, filler injections, and laser treatment [6,7].

Liposuction for facial rejuvenation is known to be useful. Shu and Lam [13] reported that liposuction using the tumescent technique was effective for facelifts in patients with thick and elastic skin, unlike that of Caucasians. When liposuction of the facial area is performed, amount of adipose tissue removed should not be more than 70%. In the case of patients who are 50 or more years old, skin laxity should be reduced to 50%, and the lip region should not be suctioned.

Before performing the procedures, we assessed patients’ skin laxity using the skin laxity grading scale designed by Alexiades-Armenakas et al. [8] to avoid bias related to skin laxity. The mean score for preoperative skin laxity was 2.31 and 2.15 in group A and group B, respectively, and there was no significant difference between the two groups.

After these procedures, the mean score on the GAIS assigned by three plastic surgeons was 1.85 for group B, corresponding to better results than were obtained for group A (2.54). Furthermore, patients’ satisfaction scores were higher in the combined procedure group than in the control group. Thus, the GAIS outcomes corresponded to the patients’ subjective satisfaction.

As Abraham et al. [5] argued, thread lifting alone cannot induce volumetric change and has less efficacy for facial rejuvenation, but this weak point could be supplemented and resolved by combining thread lifting with a liposuction procedure. In our study, the result of thread lifting combined with liposuction shows good sustainability (Fig. 4) and improved effectiveness of thread lifting (Fig. 5).

In liposuction procedures, when the fatty layer is infused with a tumescent solution, the fat cells are broken apart and emulsify into the infusion fluid. These emulsified fatty cells can be easily removed, causing not only a volumetric reduction, but also shrinkage and tightening in the tissue layer during the healing process.

Liposuction also has complications, such as infection, postoperative subcutaneous panniculitis-like reaction, and skin retraction [14]. However, in this study, patients who underwent thread lifting with liposuction complained only of self-resolving problems, such as ecchymosis, and there were no complications requiring specific treatments. Thus, thread lifting combined with liposuction appears to be minimally invasive and has few complications, although it is necessary to investigate this issue further with a larger number of patients.

Throughout the course of the healing process, thread lifting combined with liposuction resulted in better clinical outcomes than thread lifting alone, and showed effectiveness over a long-term follow-up of more than 6 months.

However, to enable a more accurate comparison, a further study should be designed with more subjects, and such a study would
preferably incorporate an objective evaluation technique using a recently developed skin diagnosis system in addition to the GAIS score [15].

In conclusion, patients who underwent thread lifting combined with liposuction showed greater satisfaction and better GAIS scores than those who received thread lifting only, even after a long follow-up period.
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