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Background: Migraine headache in the occipital region is characterized by a recurrent pain of moderate to severe intensity. However, the diagnosis can be difficult because of the multitude of symptoms overlapping with similar disorders and a pathophysiology that is not well-understood. For this reason, the medical management is often complex and ineffective.

Methods: A literature search according to Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) guidelines was conducted to evaluate the surgical treatment of occipital migraines. Inclusion criteria were: English language, diagnosis of migraine, occipital neuralgia, or tension headache in compliance with the classification of the International Headache Society, follow-up at minimum 3 months, and adult age. The treatment had to consist of peripheral occipital nerve surgery.

Results: 323 records were identified after duplicates were removed, 30 full text articles were assessed for eligibility, and 9 records were selected for inclusion. A total of 1046 patients were included in the review. General positive response after surgery (>50% reduction in occipital migraine headaches) ranged from 80.0% to 94.9%. However, many differences in the selection of patients, target of decompression surgery, and measurement outcome were described.

Conclusion: Despite the decennial proven effectiveness and safeness of surgical therapy for chronic occipital migraine headaches, more significant proof is needed to definitively confirm its use as a standard therapy. (Plast Reconstr Surg Glob Open 2020;8:e3019; doi: 10.1097/GOX.0000000000003019; Published online 14 October 2020.)

INTRODUCTION

Migraine headache (MH) has always been commonly described as a complex, inherited disorder of brain function characterized by the tendency to lose control of brain inputs projected from nociceptive durovascular afferents to the thalamus and cortex. However, controversy over the origin of the pain is a hot topic: the origin of the neuronal mechanisms underlying the primary condition is in fact still unknown.

Recently, new anatomical data on pain nerve fiber course through the skull, expression of pro inflammatory genes in the periosteum of affected patients, pathological changes in peripheral compressed nerves, and effective extracranial tissues therapeutic approaches have focused attention on possible extracranial pathophysioligies in activating MH. Despite many clinical guidelines still not including surgery among the primary treatments for MH, countless international groups of researchers have highlighted that extracranial trigger site surgery is associated with a predictable positive outcome with a low rate of complication for appropriately selected patients. At first, supraorbital and supratroclear nerves were identified as the first trigger site (site I: frontal) exposed to compression exerted by the corrugator supercillii muscle. Subsequent studies have described additional main peripheral triggers such as temporal (site II: zygomatic–temporal branch of the trigeminal nerve), nasal (site III: trigeminal end branches), and occipital (site IV: great occipital nerve). Surgical management of MH has gained popularity because of the high percentage of non-responders to standard pharmacologic therapies or abuse thereof. In 2018, the Executive Committee of the American Society of Plastic Surgeon stated the safety and efficacy of peripheral nerve/trigger...
site surgery for refractory chronic MH due to 20 years of peer-reviewed published evidence in high-impact-factor journals. Strong data support, as a potential trigger of migraine, nerve compression and/or irritation in the course through or near head and neck muscles or fasciae, arteries and bony canals.

With respect to the occipital site, the involvement of the occipital nerves (the greater occipital nerve (GON); the lesser occipital nerve (LON); the third occipital nerve (TON)) could apply to all the aforementioned types of trigger points: arising from branches of the second and third cervical nerves (coming from the cervical spine), GON, LON, and TON run toward the occipital region crossing or passing through muscles and fascial planes such as inferior obliquus capitis, semispinalis capitis, and trapezius. A close relationship with the occipital artery (OA) and its minor branches is also well documented. The diagnosis of occipital migraine can be difficult owing to the overlap with other disorders and a pathophysiology that is not well-understood. It is characterized by recurrent headaches of moderate to severe intensity localized to the occipital region, with the occasional irradiation to the neck and face. Occipital MH treatment has long been focused on GON compression by the semispinalis capitis muscle and the obliquus capitis during forceful, flexion-extension movements of the neck or in cases of trauma. However, the pulsating nature of pain during occipital migraine reinforced the idea of neurovascular etiology for the disease. Pulsatile distortion of terminal branches of external carotid artery can determine traction and pressure stimuli to local terminal branches of the occipital nerve, resulting in a pulsating headache. Afterward, it can determine a chronic antalgic contraction of the surrounding muscles of the head and neck that can overcome the original vascular pain and determined chronic headache.

Owing to the complexity of this anatomical region, many surgical options are described in the literature for different anatomical targets. This review aims to compare different approaches to peripheral release of sensory occipital nerve entrapment for the treatment of occipital MH in relation to outcome and complications to clarify whether there is a specific surgical approach that is more effective than others.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Search Criteria
A thorough literature search was conducted in March 2020 across the following databases: PubMed MEDLINE, Scopus, and Cochrane Library. No date limits were set. The search terms used were “surgical treatment AND occipital migraine.”

“surgical treatment AND occipital headache,” “GON block AND occipital migraine,” “surgical treatment AND occipital nerve decompression,” “occipital migraine AND surgery,” “occipital headache AND surgery,” and “occipital nerve AND decompression.” These broad search terms were used to identify all citations reporting the outcomes of occipital headaches surgical therapy. Results were analyzed and double references were excluded. Two different authors independently examined the titles and abstracts of citations and generated a list of articles for review. Additional articles were included reviewing reference list of relevant abstract. This study was conducted according to PRISMA guidelines for systematic reviews.

Selection Criteria
Inclusion and exclusion criteria were defined before searching to avoid selection bias.

**Inclusion criteria**
- Adult human subject
- English language
- Diagnosis of migraine headache, chronic migraine headache, occipital neuralgia, or tension headache according to the International Headache Society
- Outcome data with a follow-up of at least 6 months
- Peripheral occipital nerves surgery
- Primary data from prospective/retrospective observational studies and RCTs

**Exclusion criteria**
- Studies about radiosurgery, cryosurgery, and botulinum toxin injection without surgery
- Technique or case report articles
- Studies with fewer than 10 total patients

All the selected studies were then evaluated based on their methodological quality using the University of Oxford Centre for Evidence-Based Medicine’s levels of Evidence.

RESULTS
A total of 176 citations from PubMed, 146 from Scopus, and 41 citations from Chocran Library were initially identified. After title and abstract review, analyzed by three different reviewers, 30 records were considered relevant. Full text examination excluded further 19 articles. Only 9 articles of the initial research, published from 2009 to 2019, fulfilled inclusion criteria and were included in the systematic review (Fig. 1).

Among the 9 selected studies (Tables 1 and 2), 7 were retrospective studies (4 case-control; 3 case series), 1 was a blinded randomized controlled clinical trial, and 1 a prospective cohort study. A total of 1135 patients were included in studies on occipital nerve decompression with different surgical techniques. The sample size of each study ranged from 11 to 476 patients. Demographic characteristics of the population taken into account were sex for all the selected studies except 3, with a prevalence of females (range from 39.5% to 87.6%) except for Li et al, where more males were present. Patient age was reported as mean or as a range.

Patient Selection
Patients were selected among those who had undergone occipital decompression surgery in a definite timeframe in all retrospective studies. Many differences were present; in particular, Li et al included patients after positive nerve block response while Raposio and Bertozzi included patients after positive nerve block response while Raposio and Bertozzi
and Ducic et al included patients with at least 6 months of symptoms. Guyuron et al selected patients with frequent moderate-to-severe migraine headaches triggered from a single or predominant site with previous positive response to botulinum toxin injection. Jose et al selected patients with occipital neuralgia (ON) diagnosis who were refractory to medical management. Diagnosis by a board-certified neurologist was described in 4 of 9 studies.

### Surgical Treatment

Regarding surgical approach, 5 types of surgical incision are mentioned: a 4-cm vertical midline occipital incision was the most common, while horizontal incisions differed in length and in position between studies. A T-shaped incision was described in 1 study and no information was specified by Li et al. Among surgical techniques, musculofascial decompression through accurate dissection of semispinalis capitis, trapezius, and obliquus capitis was performed in all studies. Removal of small portions of the semispinalis capitis and/or trapezius and ligation/resection of arteries in the vicinity of the GON were described in most of the studies. A subcutaneous flap to shield the GON from surrounding structures was often described. In particular, Afifi et al. described a deep fat flap with its base attached medially to the deeper tissues over the nuchal ligament, called "W" flap in bilateral cases, as being used to cover the nerve at the site of the resected semispinalis muscle (compression points 2 and 3) and/or used more distally at the crossing of the nerve over the nuchal ridge (points 4–6). While decompression of GON is always described, LON and/or TON are considered in 4 of 9 studies. In particular, Lee et al. described the avulsion of the TON when encountered in 1 of the two patient study groups, while LON neurolysis or neurectomy was mentioned by Raposo and Bertozzi, Ducic et al., and Afifi et al. Realizing of lymphatic structures surrounding the nerve and dissection of swollen lymph nodes intertwining the nerves were infrequently mentioned. Lineberry et al. added the injection of corticosteroids along the entire course and into
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study/Ref No.</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Patient Selection</th>
<th>Study Groups</th>
<th>Sample (pts)</th>
<th>Outcomes Measurements</th>
<th>Follow-up (mo)</th>
<th>Limitations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chmielewski et al34</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Retrospective case-controlled study</td>
<td>All patients who underwent occipital migraine headache surgery performed by the senior author (B.G.) for a span of 10 years (January 1, 2001 to December 31, 2010) were reviewed.</td>
<td>(1) n = 55 (38 bilateral, 17 unilateral); occipital artery resection (bipolar cautery) group: if the patient’s occipital artery or its branches were found in proximity to the greater occipital nerve (2) n = 115; control group: if the patient’s occipital arteries were not touched. Further subdivision into patients with continuous daily occipital headache and those who had an episodic form (potential indicator for occipital neuritis).</td>
<td>n = 170 (21 men, 12.4%; 149 women, 87.6%)</td>
<td>Migraine Headache Questionnaire before and 12 mo after surgery: frequency (number of migraine headaches per month), duration (in days), intensity (scale of 1–10, with 10 being the most severe), and location of migraine headache pain.</td>
<td>Follow-up ranged from 12 to 87 mo, with a mean follow-up of 18 mo in the occipital artery resection group and 22 mo in the control group ($P=0.097$).</td>
<td>There was a different distribution of the 2 procedures performed throughout the 10-year span: the majority of control procedures were performed in the earlier years, the occipital artery resection procedures had the opposite distribution. Change in the pattern of practice by the senior author over the years and surgery on patients, with a higher frequency and intensity and longer duration. Concomitant migraine surgery sites. The mean preoperative occipital migraine headache frequency was significantly higher in the occipital artery resection group compared with the control group. The occipital artery resection group mean preoperative duration was significantly lower than that of the control group. Second, the study represents a single surgeon’s experience; therefore, external validity may be limited. Third, the excessive scarring around the greater occipital nerve noted on re-exploration may represent inadequate decompression at the initial operation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lineberry et al35</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Retrospective case-controlled study</td>
<td>Charts were reviewed for all patients who had undergone migraine surgery performed by the senior author (B.G.) from 2000 to 2010. Study inclusion criteria included migraine site IV decompression surgery and record of triamcinolone acetonide injection.</td>
<td>(1) n = 282; triamcinolone acetonide group; (2) n = 194; control group.</td>
<td>n = 476 (60 men, 12.6%; 416 women, 87.4%)</td>
<td>Migraine-specific information (preoperative and postoperative). Migraine Headache Questionnaires (preoperative and postoperative). Data points included patient age, sex, and triamcinolone acetonide injection; and migraine headache surgery site, frequency (migraines per month), intensity (based on a visual analog scale from 1 to 10, with 10 being the most severe), duration (in days), location, and characterization.</td>
<td>At least 1 year.</td>
<td>The timeframe of patients analyzed: This study is a consecutive retrospective study beginning with the year 2000. Slight modifications in surgical technique used by the senior author over this period may have contributed to the success of the triamcinolone acetonide group. Second, the study represents a single surgeon’s experience; therefore, external validity may be limited. Third, the excessive scarring around the greater occipital nerve noted on re-exploration may represent inadequate decompression at the initial operation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Lee et al. 2013 Retrospective case–controlled study. Charts for all patients who underwent migraine surgery by the senior author (B.G.) from 2000 to 2010 were reviewed.

(1) n = 111; TON avulsion (53 unilateral, 58 bilateral).
(2) n = 118; no TON avulsion because no TON encountered.

n = 229 (29 men, 12.7%; 200 women, 87.3%).

Preoperative and postoperative Migraine Headache Questionnaires. Data obtained included age, sex, MH surgery site, MH frequency (number of migraines per month), intensity (based on a visual analog scale from 1 to 10, with 10 being the most severe), duration (in days), location, and characterization. Follow-up of 21 months (range: 12–60).

Raposio and Bertozzi 2019 Retrospective case–controlled study. Patients eligible to undergo migraine deactivation surgery had to be diagnosed by a board-certified neurologist with migraine without aura with >15 d/mo of headache, lasting for >6 mo, or chronic tension-type headache with >15 d/mo of headache, lasting for >6 mo, or new daily persistent headache attacks with >15 d/mo of headache, lasting for >6 mo.

(1) n = 56; OA ligation in the site of close connection with GON;
(2) n = 22; GON and LON conservative neurolysis (muscolofascial decompression).

n = 78; 58 bilateral, 20 unilateral.

Data from questionnaires completed before and after surgery. Daily headache diary. MH questionnaires assessing MH parameters before and postoperatively by self-assessment to assess changes in MH.

Follow-up of 21 mo (range: 12–60).

Ducic et al. 2009 retrospective case series. A retrospective chart review was conducted of 206 consecutive patients presenting to the senior author (I.D.) with headache, and major psychiatric disease/headache as a consequence of the occipital nerve. Concomitant treatment was not a criterion. Minimum follow-up was 12 mo.

n = 206 (38 men, 18.4%; 168 women, 81.6%).

Average age: 45 ± 2.9 y.

171 bilateral, 35 unilateral.

Visual analog scale, Migraine headache index (days/mo × intensity (0–10) × duration (fraction of 24 h)) (preoperative and postoperative).

% of postoperative pain relief. Therapeutic success was defined as a reduction of pain by at least 50%.

Minimum follow-up was 12 mo.

Limitations

Not described.

Not described. Not applicable. Not described.
Li et al39 2011 Retrospective case series. Patients with classic symptoms of greater occipital neuralgia (diagnostic criteria for ICHD-II diagnosis) were included when the headache rapidly resolved after infiltration of 1% Lidocaine near the tender area of the nerve trunk. Not applicable. n = 76 (46 men, 60.5%; 30 women, 39.5%). Age (y) 58 ± 9; 63 unilateral, 13 bilateral. Visual analog scale (VAS) before and after surgery. Mean follow-up of 20 mo (range: 7–52 mo).

Afifi et al40 2019 Retrospective case series. All patients undergoing occipital nerve decompression. Not applicable. n = 71; 66% of patients (n = 47) underwent LON surgery as well. Migraine Headache Index (MHI). Thirty-two patients (30 bilateral and 2 unilateral) had >6 mo of follow-up with complete records for evaluation of their outcomes. Not described.

Guyuron et al41 2009 Single blind, randomized control trial. Patients with frequent moderate to severe migraine headaches triggered from a single or predominant site. Diagnosis of migraine headache was confirmed using the International Classification of Headache Disorders II criteria. Positive response (>50% improvement) to botulinum toxin injection and recurrence of migraine headache after disappearance of its effect. (1) n = 7; sham surgery. (2) n = 11; control group (actual surgery). Questionnaires before treatment: Medical Outcomes Study 36-Item Short Form Health Survey, Migraine-Specific Quality of Life, Migraine Disability Assessment (preoperative and 1 year postoperative). All patients maintained a daily headache diary and completed migraine headache questionnaires assessing the frequency (number of headaches per month), intensity (visual analog scale, 1–10), and duration (days) of their headaches on a monthly basis. Follow-up 1 year. Not described.

Table 1. (Continued)
Occipital neuralgia was diagnosed by a neurologist after ruling out any intracranial cause of headache, using computed tomograms. All patients reported relief of symptoms following diagnostic occipital nerve blocks. Patients who were refractory to medical management were only enrolled.

Not applicable n = 11 (2 men, 18.2%; 9 women, 81.8%).

Preoperative recording of pain history, pain episodes per month, pain severity, age at onset, symptoms, health status, medication history, and previous treatments. Postoperative (after at least 3 mo) recording of the degree of reduction of pain with regard to severity and frequency and surgical site problems.

Comparison of headache frequency (as episodes per month) and pain severity pre- and post-surgery using a 10-point Visual Analog Scale.

Patients were followed up to 1 year post-surgery. The mean follow-up period was 12.4 ± 5.19 mo, with no loss of follow-up.

Lesser and third occipital nerves were not addressed. Learning curve may have influenced results.

Table 1. (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study/Ref No.</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Patient Selection</th>
<th>Sample (pts)</th>
<th>Outcomes Measurements</th>
<th>Follow-up (mo)</th>
<th>Limitations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jose et al42</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>Prospective cohort</td>
<td>Occipital neuralgia was diagnosed by a neurologist after ruling out any intracranial cause of headache. All patients reported relief of symptoms following diagnostic occipital nerve blocks. Patients who were refractory to medical management were only enrolled.</td>
<td>n = 11 (2 men, 18.2%; 9 women, 81.8%)</td>
<td>Preoperative recording of pain history, pain episodes per month, pain severity, age at onset, symptoms, health status, medication history, and previous treatments. Postoperative (after at least 3 mo) recording of the degree of reduction of pain with regard to severity and frequency and surgical site problems. Comparison of headache frequency (as episodes per month) and pain severity pre- and post-surgery using a 10-point Visual Analog Scale.</td>
<td>12.4 ± 5.19 mo, with no loss of follow-up.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Studies Included in Qualitative Synthesis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study/Ref No.</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Surgical Strategy</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Complications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chmielewski et al(^\text{34})</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>General anesthesia, prone position, midline occipital incision. Removal of a small portion of the semispinalis capitis muscle between the midline and the nerve. Releasing of the fascia overlying the nerve till to the subcutaneous plane. Shielding of the nerve with a subcutaneous flap.</td>
<td>There was no significant difference between sex, mean age, follow-up, and concomitant surgery sites between the 2 groups. Preoperative variables: Frequency, MH/mo (OAR, 19.3 ± 8.4 versus control, 14.6 ± 9.4; (P = 0.002)) Duration, days (OAR, 0.71 ± 0.72 versus control: 1.24 ± 1.42; (P = 0.011)) Intensity, analog scale (0–10) (OAR, 8.0 ± 2.9 versus control, 8.2 ± 1.9; (P = 0.682)) Postoperative variables: Frequency, MH/mo (OAR, 9.9 ± 9.8 versus control: 5.1 ± 7.6; (P = 0.001)) Duration, days (OAR, 0.44 ± 0.73 versus control, 0.42 ± 0.91; (P = 0.888)) Intensity, analog scale (0–10) (OAR, 4.7 ± 3.1 versus control, 4.1 ± 3.7; (P = 0.307)) Occipital artery resection patients, (n = 55): n = 44 (80.0%) success (&gt;50% reduction) n = 21 (38.2%) elimination of occipital migraine headache. Control patients (n = 115): n = 105 (91.3%) success n = 74 (64.3%) elimination of occipital migraine headache. The control group had significantly higher success ((P = 0.047)) and elimination rates ((P = 0.002)) compared with the occipital artery resection group. Comparison of sides in unilateral arterectomy patients: of the 17 patients who underwent bilateral greater occipital nerve decompression but unilateral arterectomy, 15 experienced equal relief on both sides. Both of the 2 remaining patients who experienced asymmetrical relief after surgery experienced a slightly greater reduction in migraine frequency on the non arterectomy side. There was no significant difference between the success rates ((P = 0.357)) and elimination rates ((P = 0.675)) of patients with daily continuous occipital migraine headache in the 2 groups.</td>
<td>Not described</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lineberry et al(^\text{35})</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Local anesthesia (1% lidocaine with 1:100,000 epinephrine), prone position with the neck flexed, a 4-cm vertical midline incision. Incision of the trapezius fascia 0.5 cm to the right of the midline and dissection of an approximately 2-cm full-thickness length of muscle medial to the nerve. Removal of a small amount of trapezius fascia or muscle overlying the GON laterally. Dissection/removal of any fascial bands remained above the nerve. Removal of any arteries in the vicinity of the nerve. In the triamcinolone acetonide group: 0.3 mL of triamcinolone acetonide is injected along the entire course of the GON, with a small amount injected into the nerve perineurium. Elevation of an approximately 2 × 2 cm subcutaneous flap under the nerve on either side.</td>
<td>A significant reduction was found in the frequency of migraine headaches (−9.8 vs −8.0; (P = 0.03)) and the migraine headache index (−92.9 vs −65.2; (P = 0.0065)). There was no significant reduction in migraine headache duration (−0.50 vs −0.70; (P = 0.10)) or severity (−3.50 versus −3.80; (P = 0.38)).</td>
<td>Not described</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study/Ref No.</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Surgical Strategy</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Complications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lee et al16</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>4-cm midline raphe incision in hair-bearing scalp</td>
<td>No statistical difference between the 2 groups in preoperative MH severity (TON R 8.9 versus TON NR 8.3; ( P = 0.35 )), MH frequency (TON R 18.1 versus TON NR 16.1; ( P = 0.09 )), or MH duration (TON R 0.9 versus TON NR 1.06; ( P = 0.44 )).</td>
<td>Neuroma formation after TON removal did not reach clinical significance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bertozzi 37</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Local assisted anesthesia (40 mL of diluted lidocaine 1% + 40 mL NaCl 0.9%, and 20 mL sodium bicarbonate 8.4%), patient prone, no trichotomy, horizontal occipital scalp incisions of 5 cm in length along the superior nuchal line, at the location of arterial signal detected preoperatively by the handheld Doppler</td>
<td>94.9% positive response (86.8% complete; 81.1% significant improvement); 5.1% no relief</td>
<td>No concerning side effects were reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ducic et al18</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>General anesthesia, patient prone, a central horizontal 5- to 6-cm incision approximately 3 cm below the occipital protuberance</td>
<td>No difference in complete overall MH elimination (TON R 26% versus TON NR 29%; ( P = 0.45 )), or overall MH surgery success (TON R 80% versus TON NR 81% group; ( P = 0.82 )) between the 2 groups</td>
<td>No statistical difference between patients with bilateral or unilateral TON removal in preoperative MH severity, frequency, or duration</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2. (Continued)**
positive response and elimination were higher in the study group (no statistical analysis was done).

**Adverse Events**

Patients with bilateral or unilateral surgery were also compared in two retrospective studies\textsuperscript{44,56}; no statistically significant difference was found. Raposo and Bertotto\textsuperscript{17} analyzed the appearance of secondary trigger sites after decompression primary surgery, showing that 8.3% of patients (n = 14) experienced secondary trigger point emergence following primary migraine surgery (12 patients had 2 trigger points, whereas 2 patients had all 3 trigger points). Three of 9 studies did not deal with postoperative complications. Intense itching, incisional cellulitis, wound...
infection, neck stiffness but, most of all, some degree of paresthesia in the immediate postoperative period are the complications that, in almost all cases, resolved without sequelae. Neuroma formation was reported as absent by Guyuron et al only, while Lee et al state that there was no difference between the two groups in symptomatic neuroma formation without specifying the number of neuromas detected in the two groups (TON removed versus TON not removed).

**DISCUSSION**

Since extracranial mechanisms in headache generation have gained popularity, many studies have tried to provide evidence of anatomic connection between the intracranial and extracranial spaces to explain how a peripheral trigger in the head or neck can result in activation of intracranial meningeal nociceptors. Genetic predisposition, moreover, has been proposed as the possible cause of extracranial inflammatory disease due to the imbalance in expression of inflammatory genes in the occipital periosteum. These theories, which partially explain the ineffectiveness of centrally acting medical therapies, are supported by all those treatments directed to act peripherally such as nerve blocks, steroids injections at trigger points, and botulinum toxin injections. However, the theory of peripheral nerve compression is not free from unresolved dilemmas. The extracranial course of the occipital nerves is, in fact, characterized by several areas of possible entrapment. The anatomy of GON is widely described in the literature: once out of the C1–C2 intervertebral space, GON may be compressed between semispinalis and inferior oblique capitis muscles, passing through the semispinal capitis muscle or in correspondence of the trapezium muscle and its aponeurotic band toward the occipital crest; moreover, at this level, a close relationship between GON and OA is often present. Although GON musculofascial decompression is a treatment utilized by all the analyzed studies, the same cannot be said for LON and TON, as they are rarely mentioned. Research initially began to find a reason why patients were unresponsive or partially responding to surgery. LON arises from C2 and/or C3 spinal nerves with an exit point along the posterior border of the sternocleidomastoid muscle, which does not seem to be a point of compression, whereas an intimate relationship between LON and OA (both as single interaction and as intertwine-ment) as well as with fascial bands are often present. A target zone for surgical release of LON has therefore been identified by Lee et al. The TON is the dorsal ramus of C3, its exit point is located closer to the midline than the previous two, but cadaver studies described a greater variability. This characteristic is confirmed by the study done by Lee et al even if the role of this nerve in the origin of pain is not clarified. Likewise, the management of occipital vessels is not homogeneous. The involvement of the OA as a cause of compression is sometimes not considered or even indicated as detrimental to the outcome.

A thorough understanding of the anatomy and the potential compression sites of occipital nerves seems essential to obtain a successful decompression treatment. Unfortunately, no adequate imaging techniques are able to investigate all sites of possible compression. Muscle-tendon ultrasound has proved useful in identifying certain segments of GON but accurate medical history and physical examination seem to be the main method of identifying trigger sites. In our review,
some methodologies used to guide subsequent surgical decompression procedures to limit therapeutic failure are mentioned. Nerve block is used in 2 studies. Despite its usefulness, especially in providing for effective decompression nerve surgical treatment, its utilization is strictly ligated to the presence of a headache migraine attack during the visit and the identification of the entrapment is often not precise. Botulinum toxin does not present this limit. However, despite its efficacy in identifying musculoskeletal compression of the greater occipital nerve, it cannot identify trigger sites related to OA that are instead easily detected by a Doppler probe, especially if corroborated by patient self-identification of the trigger points. Failure to identify all trigger sites could be the cause of the incomplete response in many cases. If all sites of possible nerve compression are not carefully assessed preoperatively and/or managed during surgery, the real effectiveness of surgical therapy may not be determined. Recently, specific criteria for their detection in selected patients were summarized by Guyuron et al: citing that cooperation between neurologists and surgeons is necessary to improve MH management, while patient collaboration in describing symptoms and identifying the headache start site, as well as several diagnostic tools, are fundamental in planning surgery.

Despite a variety of surgical techniques and some limitations underlined in the studies, success in occipital decompression surgery is high, surpassing 90% in several studies. Long-term effects described cannot be the result of a placebo effect. However, other randomized clinical trials are necessary to definitively confirm this claim. Currently, only one clinical trial is ongoing, with the aim to compare surgical intervention with continued medical management in post-traumatic occipital headaches.

A large body of evidence suggests that occipital migraines can be treated by suppressing irritation of peripheral nerves through surgical decompression with a very low appearance of postoperative complications. For these reasons, peripheral nerve trigger surgery for the treatment of resistant chronic MH in selected patients should be considered as a therapeutic option by all the involved specialists, as opposed to remaining anchored to standard treatment schemes that are not sufficiently effective in the management of a disease where the pathophysiology has not yet been clarified.

**LIMITATIONS**

The retrospective nature of most of the selected studies is one of the main limitations of this review. Moreover, as underlined by the authors themselves, data collection was often carried out over a long period of time (as much as 10 years). This leads to consider the presence of a slight modification in the surgical technique even if performed by the same surgeon. Another aspect to take into consideration concerns the methods of assigning patients to groups in case-control studies, which is closely linked to different anatomical characteristics between groups of patients. Differences in outcomes could therefore be related to the causes of compression rather than to surgical techniques.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Occipital MH surgery has proved its effectiveness over the years. However, a widely shared surgical approach does not yet seem to be identified and it is not possible to reach substantial conclusions as to which is the best surgical approach. Greater standardization in patient selection, constant use of preoperative and postoperative evaluation methods, and the design of randomized multicenter prospective clinical trials would solidify the extremely positive results described worldwide.

---
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