INTRODUCTION

In the 1970s, Behan and Wilson\(^1\) coined the concept of angiotome, which refers to the 3-dimensional tissue unit supplied by a dynamic vascular network. This idea and the geometry of its design support the development of the keystone flap (KF). The KF procedure was first described in 2003.\(^2\) Since then, several series have been published in an effort to understand its physiology.

Many authors highlight the KF’s versatility, reliability, and efficiency in multiple reconstructive scenarios and raise questions about its successful perfusion. The clinical evidence that claims the efficiency of this reconstructive strategy is overwhelming. However, KF is barely addressed in specialized literature and is still far from becoming a first-line tool in clinical practice. This study reports our experience using KF and proposes the concept of pedicular area.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A prospective study was developed from October 2014 to December 2016 (26 months) at Fundación Hospital de la Misericordia and during the main author’s private practice. The following information was gathered: demographic data, diagnosis, location and size of defect and flap, area of the flap attached to the bed (pedicular area), type of flap according to Behan’s classification,\(^2\) surgical time, hospitalization time, and complications. Doppler marking in search of perforators was not performed in any of the cases. The average follow-up time was 10 months, and in all cases, preoperative and postoperative photographic records were taken. A series of uncontrolled cases is presented along with a description of the surgical technique applied.

RESULTS

A total of 112 flaps were performed in 89 patients (45 men and 44 women) with an average age of 64 years, 14 of whom were diabetic, 12 smokers and 2 had prior radiotherapy (Table 1). Of the 112 flaps, 51 (46.36%) were facial and followed oncological resections mainly (type I: 23, type IIa: 4, and type IV: 24); 16 (14.54%) were made to correct early and late posttraumatic defects in the upper limb (type IIa: 9, type III: 1, and type IV: 6); 30 (27.27%) were made to reconstruct traumatic and tumoral defects in the lower limb (type I: 3, type IIa: 15, type IIb: 1, and type IV: 11); 7 (6.36%) were made to cover defects in the perineum, Fournier’s gangrene being the most frequent cause (type II: 3, type III: 2, and type IV: 2); and 8 (7.27%) were made to correct...
tumor lesions in the chest (type I: 1, type IIa: 6, and type IV: 1; Table 2).

The average size of the defects was 14.5 cm × 12.5 cm (181.25 cm²), ranging from 3 to 595 cm.² Defects in the lower limb and perineum were larger and required extensive flaps (Table 3). Large flaps were made with ratios of up to 6:1 regarding the defect area (Fig. 2). Type I or II flaps were initially designed. However, in some cases, progressive dissection was required to achieve adequate defect coverage, and so, the initial design was transformed into types III or IV as needed. The area remaining attached to the bed in each flap (non-dissected) was called pedicular area (range, 10%–90%). The average hospitalization time was 4.54 days. No patient was excluded from the sample.

Complications were defined as major (partial or total flap loss) and minor (dehiscence, cellulitis, and need for reoperation). The complication rate was 10.9%, and there were no major complications, and minor complications included 6 cases of dehiscence, 3 cases of reoperation, and 3 cases of cellulitis. Half of the dehiscence cases were managed with closure by secondary intention and the rest using delayed primary closure. Patients with cellulitis had previous infections, ie, osteomyelitis (n = 2) and urinary tract infection (n = 1), and required specific antibiotic treatment according to culture results (Table 4). In all cases, the reconstructive objectives were achieved. Sutures were removed after 21 days on average. The surgical time range was 15.8 to 204.6 minutes, with an average of 49.3 minutes.

Table 1. Participants’ Demographics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total number of patients</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (range), years</td>
<td>64 (3–89)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>28/89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>14/89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiotherapy</td>
<td>2/89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smokers</td>
<td>12/89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
section of the Full-Text article on PRSGlobalOpen.com or at http://links.lww.com/PRSGO/B13.)

Besides cutaneous redistribution and closure tension, skin viscoelastic properties and many biomechanical aspects are important to prove the KF efficiency. However, none of these can be tested in vivo or in vitro. Theories to unveil their changes, interactions, and repercussions mutate frequently. Anyway, having successfully achieved the reconstructive objective in 100% of our series confirms the KF efficiency and clinical safety despite the absence of incontrovertible explanations.

**Physiology**

Cutaneous circulation has been well documented. A wide network of blood vessels with intradermal, subdermal, and subcutaneous anastomotic connections is supplied from the deep tissues with the help of perforators of varied course and size that guarantee their perfusion. Based on the studies by Manchot and Salmon, Taylor and Palmer described around 400 perforators throughout the entire body that facilitate flap design on constant vascular zones. In our series, all KFs were randomly designed on areas lacking perforators identifiable with Doppler, considering that any body part may contain perforators capable of supplying cutaneous segments that overflow described borders of known angiosomes. This occurred due to the intervention of adjacent vascular systems through the opening of anastomotic networks in accordance with the concept of angiotoma.

The physiological changes described in the KF include hyperemic flare, red dot signs, and pain-free postoperative period. In local flaps, an initial noradrenergic period has been documented that can extend up to 48 hours, until local catecholamines are depleted. This phenomenon explains the initial vasoconstriction observed in these flaps. In contrast, the hyperemic flare—or immediate vasodilation—described in the KF has been compared with the effect of lumbar sympathectomy on the limbs. It is then speculated that the perforators sustaining the subdermal plexus that nourishes the KF are immune to such vasoconstrictor effect and/or that, by dividing the subdermal plexus up to the fascia, a hydrostatic advantage is established in the perforator’s flow. This resembles the behavior of classic perforator flaps such as the anterolateral thigh.
emodynamic arrest and redirects the preferential vascular flow to the flap periphery. All present cases were spontaneous bridge as an additional source of vascular damage that compromised flap viability; therefore, repair never caused persistent venous congestion or arterial excessiveness that such variation limits KF mobilization and is more ideal not for vascular safety but to replace Avulsion technique is to preserve a cutaneous bridge as an additional source of vascularization. Cutaneous pedicles are unnecessary and even harmful since they generate flow resistance at the flap edges. A design without dermal bridges prevents a hemodynamic arrest and redirects the preferential vascular flow to the flap periphery. All present cases were carved as island flaps. There were no partial or total flap losses, significant incidence of lymphedema, or healing problems. In agreement with other studies, we consider that such variation limits KF mobilization and is more valued for treating the surgeon’s anxiety than for KF vascular safety. (See video, Supplemental Digital Content 2 which displays the advancement of a complete islanded Keystone flap. This video is available in the “Related Videos” section of the Full-Text article on PRSGlobalOpen.com or at http://links.lww.com/PRSGO/B14.)

Unlike typical perforator flaps, KF surgical technique does not require identification and skeletonization of source vessel or specific perforator. This eliminates the risks, complexity, morbidity, and surgical time implied in these procedures. Preservation of cutaneous nerves is recommended to exploit neurocutaneous circulation and to preserve KF sensitivity. Interestingly, many of this series flaps exhibited sensitivity in the postoperative period despite the sectioning of the superficial nerves and small anchorage areas. The reason for this is still unknown.

The vascular safety of KF is undoubtedly the greatest surgical concern since the area that remains attached to the bed guarantees perfusion. However, the greater the anchoring area, the smaller the flap advance potential. Based on this, gradually larger dissections have been reported, for example, Behan et al. and Kostopoulos et al. have dissected up to two-thirds of the island in the subfascial plane.

In the present series, subfascial dissection became gradually more aggressive. It was first used in larger flaps (area >10 cm2) and then became applicable to any KF. Dissection starts from the periphery and progresses as needed, narrowing the flap attaching area to its bed, which has been called pedicular area (PA). In this area, no specific vessel or perforator was isolated or previously identified, but it clearly fulfilled the flap vascular requirements. It can be inferred that this area contains ubiquitous perforators or microperforators with the same flow enhancement observed in isolated perforators of classical flaps. The PA could be reduced to near 10%; in other words, up to 90% of the flap was dissected without harm, far exceeding the ranges recommended by Behan himself (Fig. 3; see Video, Supplemental Digital Content 2).

The PA narrowing is only the result of the tissue mobilization needs, regardless of the flap size or the anatomical area in which it is designed. In this series, dissections >90% (PA < 10%) were successfully performed on the face, back, thorax, arms, hands, and legs. Whenever possible, the PA should be located on the so-called hot spots. KFs with narrow PAs presented a different behavior. Initially, they showed a period of variable duration with evident venous congestion followed by a vasoconstriction or “white phase,” which was always sorted without compromising the KF vitality (Fig. 3). In the authors’ experience, the progress achieved with these flaps is proportional to the depth of the tissues affected and the amount of tissue lifted from its bed. Therefore, according to each clinical requirement, the advance can be sequentially increased via 3 ways:

1. To design (if possible) flaps larger than the defect, especially if the tissue has been irradiated or presents burns sequelae, as fibrosis secondary to these injuries can hinder tissue mobility.
2. To intervene the underlying fascia on the entire periphery of the flap.
3. To dissect the KF in a subfascial plane from the flap periphery to the chosen pedicular area, which progressively narrows from a wide central area to a smaller one, distal to the edge of the defect.

### Table 4. Major and Minor Complications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complications</th>
<th>Value, n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Major</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total necrosis</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partial necrosis</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wound dehiscence</td>
<td>6 (5.45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cellulitis</td>
<td>3 (2.72)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second surgical procedure</td>
<td>8 (7.28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>9 (10.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. To choose a not necessarily central pedicular area when designing the omega variant. The sum of the central zone axial advance and that achieved by the rotation and advance of the lateral segments allows covering more extensive and distant defects (Fig. 3).

The vascular safety of these flaps is such that, within the same KF, it was possible to carve different densities ranging from thick fasciocutaneous segments to delicate dermofat segments of a few millimeters thick. This allowed reconstructing complex defects with variable contours, filling dead spaces, and covering sensitive areas such as the perineum or the eyelids (Figs. 2 and 4).

During the closure, it is not necessary to dissect the tissues adjacent to the defect. Only in exceptional cases, a second flap is required to facilitate closure. Depending on the flap thickness or if points of above average tension are perceived, closure by planes is preferred. A Hemming suture is used in cases of considerable tension; otherwise, a continuous suture is made with absorbable material for children and polypropylene for adults.

**DISCUSSION**

The advantages of locoregional reconstruction have been widely discussed in the existent literature. The aesthetic results of stable coverage with tissues adjacent to the initial defect are extremely superior to those of techniques that transport distant tissues, which lack the desired "like to like" effect and require nerve repair to obtain protective sensitivity.

Short surgical times without complex intrasurgical or postsurgical monitoring, a single operative field, and a more "stable" perfusion are some of the additional advantages of KF that reduce morbidity, mortality, and intrahospital stay. This differs from the microvascular options that require a wide learning curve and large resources for its execution.

**Fig. 3.** A and B, Four-year-old patient with giant congenital melanocytic nevus, 70% of which is resected at the cervico-dorsal portion. An omega KF is elevated at 312.52 cm. The arrows point the direction of the closure achieved by axial advance of the central portion (red arrow) and the rotation and advance of the lateral portions (yellow arrows). C, Marking of the pedicular area (18 cm²). Note the flap pale color at the time of closure. D, Details of the pedicular area after lifting almost 95% of the KF. E, Fifth postoperative day, without complications. F, Geometrical outline of the flap and its omega closure.
Due to its versatility, the KF has been used in defects of varied etiology and in all age groups. They have allowed coverage that, given their extension, would require free flaps or classic perforator flaps. (See video, Supplemental Digital Content 3 which displays the defect secondary to parotid oncological resection covered with a Keystone flap. This video is available in the “Related Videos” section of the Full-Text article on PRSGlobalOpen.com or at http://links.lww.com/PRSGO/B15.)

Narrowing the PA provides wider movement arcs and, contrary to some opinions, allows advances over 20 cm and rotations of up to 180 degrees. These are similar to the helical flap results, without the technical difficulties, poor cosmetic results, and morbidity that it entails. Unlike some literature findings, we consider the KF as a great alternative for the complete reconstruction of entire facial subunits, the recruitment of muscle components (orbicularis oris, orbicularis oculi, and platysma), and the successful mobilization of innervated tissues. Compared with skin grafts, KFs are not only more efficient but also lack their undesirable effects such as retraction, pigmentation, lack of volume, and donor area morbidity (Fig. 5).

The concept of pedicular area contributes to the KF biomechanical efficiency. The fact that extensive tissue areas, supplied in tiny random pedicles and supported by ubiquitous microperforators, survive without any vascular damage breaks the anatomical paradigms of local flaps and raises questions about the dynamics of tissue perfusion.

Of course, the KF technique has limitations. Its efficiency in intraoral and intranasal coverage has not been sufficiently proven. Its fasciocutaneous and musculocutaneous nature lacking bone components excludes them...
from scenarios with these specific requirements. Besides, due to its vascular dependence on perforators, caution should be exercised in areas surgically or traumatically dissected. As in any other technique, the design of the island must be careful to avoid transgression of natural folds or scar location on areas of excessive pressure. To do so, it is recommended to design larger islands as previously mentioned.

In sum, KF’s versatility, functional and aesthetic results, and low complication rate (3%–4.6%)\(^{5,36}\) have far exceeded the expectations of any random perforator or flap. The KF allows reconstruction in a single surgical time\(^{5,36}\) and is a relatively easy and fast technique\(^{28}\) for the beginner and the experienced surgeon. Economic considerations are not a minor issue in a context of financial sustainability of the health system of countries such as Colombia. Nowadays, there is an underestimation of techniques such as the one discussed here.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Plastic surgeons have come a long way to find a reconstructive strategy that (1) provides similar tissues in terms of function, texture, color, and sensitivity; (2) is versatile for any reconstructive requirement; (3) provokes minimal or no aesthetic or functional morbidity of donor areas; (4) entails short surgical times; and (5) is replicable, with short learning curves and without large infrastructure requirements.

Without ignoring the abovementioned limitations, the KF satisfies practically all of these requirements. The concept of ubiquitous microperforators, not detectable by conventional techniques and capable of supplying extensive segments of soft tissues, breaks the paradigm of fixed, anatomically identifiable pedicles. It opens the way to what we might call “freestyle pedicles” or “random pedicular area,” free of the complex and expensive technical requirements of the perforator or free flaps.

However, given the heterogeneity of the age groups, comorbidities, and anatomical areas considered adverse to flap perfusion, new cohorts with a larger number of patients and more strict inclusion criteria are necessary to validate our conclusions.

We believe that the development of microsurgery is an elegant and sophisticated response to previously insoluble problems. However, it is no less true that there is a current increasing overindication of these procedures with a parallel disdain for techniques with better cost-effectiveness.

In short, more studies are needed to better understand the physiological adaptations of KF. However, the clinical evidence is irrefutable and supports its use in many reconstructive scenarios, which undoubtedly allows the decentralization of health care and provides an invaluable tool with superior results.
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