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Background: Brachial plexus and axillary nerve injuries often result in paralysis of the deltoid muscle. This can be functionally debilitating for patients and have a negative impact on their activities of daily living. In these settings, transferring the branch of the radial nerve innervating the triceps to the axillary nerve is a viable treatment option. Additional nerve transfers may be warranted. This study sought to determine the efficacy of nerve transfer procedures in the setting of brachial plexus and axillary nerve injuries and factors affecting clinical outcomes.

Methods: The U.S. National Library of Medicine’s website “PubMed” was queried for “radial to axillary nerve transfer” and “brachial plexus nerve transfer.” An initial review by two authors was performed to identify relevant articles followed by a third author validation utilizing inclusion and exclusion criteria. Individual patient outcomes were recorded and pooled for final analysis.

Results: Of the 80 patients, 66 (82.5%) had clinical improvement after surgical nerve transfer procedures. Significant difference in clinical improvement following nerve transfer procedures was correlated with patient age, mechanism of injury, brachial plexus vs isolated axillary nerve injuries, multiple nerve transfers vs single nerve transfers, and surgery within the first 7 months of injury. The branch of the radial nerve supplying the triceps long head showed improved clinical results compared with the branch of the radial nerve supplying the triceps medial head and anconeus.

Conclusion: Nerve transfers have been shown to be effective in restoring shoulder abduction in both isolated axillary nerve injuries and brachial plexus injuries. (Plast Reconstr Surg Glob Open 2020;8:e3096; doi: 10.1097/GOX.0000000000003096; Published online 23 September 2020.)

INTRODUCTION

Brachial plexus injuries often result in permanent neurological deficits. Regardless of surgical intervention, the anatomic location, mechanism, and initial severity of the injury dictates expected outcomes.1 Axillary nerve injuries resulting in paralysis of the deltoid muscle can limit shoulder abduction especially when combined with brachial plexus injuries that incur additional muscle involvement.2–5 Axillary nerve injuries typically occur during traumatic events, resulting in closed stretch or blunt direct trauma to the nerve, but can also follow penetrating injuries, iatrogenic causes, and quadrilateral space syndrome.6–12 Upper brachial plexus injuries with C5/C6 root involvement often occur after motorcycle or motor vehicle accidents, resulting in avulsion or rupture of the nerve roots.1,6–12

Treatment options for axillary and brachial plexus injuries predominantly involved isolated tendon transfers or shoulder arthrodesis. However, surgical advances have shifted mainstay treatments toward nerve transfers.3 Favorable outcomes are seen when utilizing a donor nerve that is expendable, has pure motor function, many axons, good size match, and has a neuromuscular junction in close proximity to the targeted muscle.17,18 Early nerve transfers for the restoration of shoulder abduction in the setting of brachial plexopathy included using the phrenic nerve, spinal accessory nerve, or intercostal nerve.19,20

Leechavengvongs et al advocated transferring the radial nerve branch to the long head of the triceps to the axillary nerve utilizing a posterior approach for C5/C6 root avulsion injuries.8 The branch of the radial nerve to the long head of the triceps is expendable, has pure motor function, and is close to the targeted muscle.17,18
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function, contains many axons, and is synergistic to the
deltoid muscle.21 Early reports demonstrated that patients
had M4 recovery of muscle strength and functional range
of motion.22,23 Since Leechavengvongs’ original study in
2003, there have been multiple independent case series
confirming the optimistic outcomes of transferring a
branch of the radial nerve to the axillary nerve in the set-
ing of brachial plexus or isolated axillary nerve injuries.
The purpose of this study was to perform a systematic
review using the patient data in these independent studies
and determine factors that affect clinical outcomes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Literature Search
Institutional Review Board approval was obtained
before initiating analysis or data collection. A retrospec-
tive review was conducted utilizing The U.S. National
Library of Medicine’s National Center for Biotechnology
Information’s website “PubMed.” The search terms were
“radial to axillary nerve transfer” and “brachial plexus nerve
transfer.” Utilizing titles and abstracts of each article, an
initial review by two authors was performed to identify
potentially relevant articles for review of the full text. The
reference lists were compared and discussed with a third
author, leading to secondary review exclusion of articles.
The full articles of the final list were reviewed in the scope
of the inclusion and exclusion criteria, resulting in the
final list of articles used for analysis (Fig. 1).

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria
The included articles presented original data on indi-
vidual patients who had undergone a radial to axillary
nerve transfer, had a minimum of 6 months follow up,
and reported changes in abduction range of motion or
strength level. Studies on staged nerve transfer proce-
dures, procedures in the setting of obstetric brachial
plexopathy procedures, and procedures performed in
the setting of partial amputations were excluded. Isolated
lower brachial plexus injuries were excluded as the upper
brachial plexus supplies the axillary nerve. Brachial plexus
injuries with complete avulsion injuries were excluded,
as these patients did not have nerve roots that could be
appropriately used as grafts. Articles that reported only on
surgical techniques or anatomic studies were excluded.

Defining Clinical Improvement
Approximately 130 degrees of abduction is required
for ipsilateral overhead activities required to perform basic
activities of daily living such as brushing one’s hair.24 In
the setting of restricted joint motion, compensatory movements
such as contralateral side bending to assist with ipsilateral
shoulder abduction deficits are utilized to overcome loss in
range of motion. Khadlikar et al. showed that certain activi-
ties of living, such as opening a door, opening/closing jars,
and reaching behind one’s back, require less than 40 degrees
of abduction.25 The most commonly accepted method of
evaluating muscle strength is the Medical Research Council
Manual Muscle Testing Scale (MRC; Fig. 2).26 Utilizing these
parameters, clinical improvement in shoulder abduction
was defined as an increased range of motion of ≥40 degrees
of abduction or MRC grade of ≥3 after surgical intervention.

Data Extraction
After the final list of articles was established, two
reviewers extracted data and compared outcomes to

Fig. 1. Flow diagram of study selection and stages of exclusion.
ensure correct reporting. The objective was to determine the expected outcomes in deltoid function and abduction after radial to axillary nerve transfer procedures. Both brachial plexus injuries and isolated axillary nerve injuries treated with nerve transfers described at the individual patient level were included in this study. Single nerve transfers included single radial nerve branch transfers to the axillary nerve. Multiple nerve transfers were defined as separate, individual nerve transfers performed during the same operation (ie, radial to axillary nerve transfer with additional ulnar to musculocutaneous nerve transfer and/or spinal accessory to suprascapular nerve transfer). Whether treated with single nerve transfers or multiple nerve transfers, all patients received a radial to axillary nerve transfer. Demographic, surgical, and outcome variables were collected, including age of patient, gender, type of injury, interval between injury and surgery, nerve transfer procedure performed, interval between surgery and follow up, MRC shoulder abduction strength before and after surgery, abduction range of motion of the shoulder before and after surgery, and the MRC strength of the triceps before and after surgery. High-energy mechanisms included motor vehicle accidents and motorcycle collisions. Low-energy mechanisms of injury included sport injuries, ground level falls, iatrogenic surgical injuries, and Parsonage-Turner syndrome.

**Data and Statistical Analysis**

Descriptive statistics were used to report basic measures such as number, mean (± SD), range, and median. Pairwise comparisons of continues variables were performed using a two-tailed paired student’s t-test. Pairwise comparisons of categorical variables were performed using a chi-squared analysis. Binary logistics regressions were used to calculate odds ratios. Multivariate binary logistic regression was attempted for all significant variables. Small group sizes (n < 5) utilized during analysis were compared using Fisher’s Exact Test. Alpha value of 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant throughout all data analysis. The statistical analysis operations were performed utilizing the IBM SPSS software platform.

**RESULTS**

The literature search returned 253 articles, 6 of which met the inclusion and exclusion criteria. A total of 80 patients were included with an average age of 30 ± 13 years, an average time from injury to surgery of 6.2 ± 2.3 months, and an average follow up of 27.2 ± 10 months. Of the 80 patients, 66 (82.5%) had clinical improvement after surgical nerve transfer procedures. No patients were reported to have a loss in triceps strength on follow up. Reported complications were limited to unsuccessful nerve transfer outcomes in 14 patients (17.5%). No other complications were reported.

Significant difference in clinical improvement following neurotization procedures were correlated with age (27.2 ± 9 versus 43.4 ± 19 years, n = 80, P = 0.007), caused by high energy mechanism of injury (86.1% versus 22.2%, n = 45, P < 0.001) and brachial plexus injuries vs isolated axillary nerve injuries (96% versus 60%, n = 80, P < 0.001) (Table 1). Significant difference in clinical improvement following neurotization procedures were also correlated with multiple nerve transfers vs single nerve transfers (95.6% versus 65.7%, n = 80, P = 0.001) and surgery

### Table 1. Demographic Information and Injury Characteristics Observed in Neurotization Procedures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Clinical Improvement</th>
<th>No Clinical Improvement</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>OR (CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (±SD)</td>
<td>30 ± 13</td>
<td>27.2 ± 9</td>
<td>43.4 ± 19</td>
<td>0.007*</td>
<td>0.92 (0.56–0.94)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>46 (86.8%)</td>
<td>7 (13.2%)</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4 (100%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanism of injury</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High energy</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>31 (86.1%)</td>
<td>5 (13.9%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001†</td>
<td>21.7 (3.5–135.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor vehicle collision</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motorcycle collision</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2 (22.2%)</td>
<td>7 (77.8%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low energy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sports injury</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2 (22.2%)</td>
<td>7 (77.8%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ground-level fall</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iatrogenic (surgical)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parsonage Turner syndrome</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nerve injury type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isolated axillary</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>18 (60%)</td>
<td>12 (40%)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001†</td>
<td>16 (3.3–78.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brachial plexus</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>48 (96%)</td>
<td>2 (4%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significance through student t test for independent variables assuming unequal variances.
†Significant through χ² analysis.
CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio.
within the first 7 months of injury (5.97 ± 2 versus 7.71 ± 3 months, n = 80, \( P = 0.008 \)) (Table 2). Among those who underwent isolated radial to axillary neurotization for isolated axillary nerve injuries, the branch of the radial nerve supplying the triceps long head showed improved clinical results compared with the branch of the radial nerve supplying the triceps medial head and anconeus (76.2% versus 22.2%, \( n = 30, P = 0.013 \)) (Table 2). There was no difference in outcomes between gender, time to follow up, nor incomplete versus complete brachial plexus injuries. However, after multivariate regression to correct for age, high energy mechanism, nerve injured, and interval between injury and surgery only high energy mechanism was a significant predictor of successful nerve transfer, with high energy mechanisms being 21.7 times more likely to show clinical improvement.

**DISCUSSION**

In the setting of axillary nerve injuries, radial nerve transfers have been shown to be an effective treatment option. Patients with concomitant radial nerve injuries or below M4 strength of their triceps should be considered for other surgical options.

**Brachial Plexus Injuries**

Patient age was found to be a predictive factor for determining success rates in nerve transfer procedures, which was consistent with previous analysis. In a retrospective review of 21 patients undergoing radial nerve transfer for isolated axillary nerve injuries with mean follow up of 21 months, Lee et al. determined that increasing age was related to a decreased pre-injury conditioning of the deltoid in individuals.\(^9\)\(^,\)\(^11\) Bonnard et al. had similar findings, citing decreased capacity for nerve regeneration in older patients.\(^5\)\(^,\)\(^9\) These conclusions are consistent with the present findings; however, when controlling for the mechanism of injury, age was no longer considered a significant predictor of successful surgical outcomes. In this analysis, there was an observed correlation that patients who sustained higher energy mechanisms of injury had brachial plexus injuries, received multiple neurotizations, and showed an increased rate of clinical improvement. Although increasing age was associated with a higher risk for failed improvement in shoulder abduction, patients as old as 62 years still benefited from combined nerve transfer procedures. Therefore, a lower threshold for neurotization procedures should be considered in the setting of brachial plexopathy, regardless of age.

**Axillary Nerve Injuries**

Patients with isolated axillary nerve injuries demonstrated a clinically significant improvement less often than brachial plexus injuries (60% versus 96%; \( P < 0.05 \)). This is likely due to the parameters set for clinical improvement in this study, and had more stringent clinical improvement criteria been chosen, these groups would have shown similar outcomes. The radial nerve branch to the triceps long head was a more efficacious (76%) donor than the nerve branch to the triceps medial head/anconeus (22.2%). Patients older than 45 years with an axillary nerve injury consistently failed to show clinically significant improvement in abduction strength and range of motion regardless of the radial nerve branch utilized for donation.

**Surgical Timing and Electrodiagnostic Testing**

In this analysis there was a significant difference in outcomes when time to surgery was before or after 7 months from the date of injury. This was confirmed through binary logistic regression suggesting surgery performed within 7 months from the date of injury led to superior clinical outcomes. We recommend discussing surgical intervention with patients who lack clinical signs of improvement confirmed by electrodiagnostic testing at 3–4 months after date of injury. This would allow adequate time for surgical planning and medical optimization.

Many patients who present with acute neuropraxia injuries will recover without surgical intervention and can initially be observed with efforts focused on maintaining full shoulder range of motion through conservative treatments.\(^9\)\(^,\)\(^11\) Wallerian degeneration can take up to 10 days to occur at which point nerve conduction studies can help differentiate between neuronapraxia and axonotmesis based

---

**Table 2. Factors and Related Outcomes after Nerve Neurotization Procedures**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Clinical Improvement</th>
<th>No Clinical Improvement</th>
<th>( P )</th>
<th>OR (CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Interval between injury and surgery, mo</td>
<td>6.2 ± 2.3</td>
<td>5.97 ± 2</td>
<td>7.71 ± 3</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.72 (0.56–0.94)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time to followup, mo</td>
<td>27.2 ± 10</td>
<td>26.6 ± 10</td>
<td>30.0 ± 11</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of nerve transfer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>23 (65.7%)</td>
<td>12 (34.2%)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>11.2 (2.3–54.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>43 (95.6%)</td>
<td>2 (4.5%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brachial plexus injuries</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incomplete (C5–C6)</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>34 (100%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td>0.998</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete (C5–T1)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>14 (87.5%)</td>
<td>2 (12.5%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isolated axillary nerve injury with neurotization procedure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nerve to triceps long head</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>16 (76.2%)</td>
<td>5 (23.8%)</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nerve to triceps medial head/anconeus</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2 (22.2%)</td>
<td>7 (77.8%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(\*\)Significant through the \(\chi^2\) analysis.

\(\dagger\)Significant difference using the Fisher exact test.

CI, confidence interval; OR, odds ratio.
on the amplitude of the compound muscle action potential.34 Patients should be considered for electrodiagnostic testing between 1 and 3 months after injury to evaluate nerve continuity and deltoid innervation.35 Recently an electrodiagnostic parameter for the detection of axillary motor nerve injury was determined by comparing a condensation study of the contralateral limb; however, it has not been shown to be a prognostic factor in treatment outcomes.36 On the other hand, brachial plexus injuries without or with minimal voluntary motor unit potentials at 2–4 months are suggestive of poor outcomes.37 Therefore, the authors recommend electrodiagnostic testing for diagnosis confirmation after 1 month of conservative management followed by repeated electrodiagnostic testing at 2–4 months to project expected outcomes with continued conservative management versus surgical neuromatization. If the patient does not show improvement on the second electrodiagnostic testing, most authors would suggest surgical intervention within six months from the date of injury.38,39

Other Considerations
Mechanism of injury was correlated with the type of nerve injury sustained in which higher energy mechanisms more often lead to brachial plexus injuries. These patients were more likely to undergo multiple nerve transfer procedures; however, some still received isolated single nerve transfers. This article showed that patients were more likely to show clinical improvement if they were younger, were involved in a high energy mechanism of injury, sustained a brachial plexus injury, and underwent multiple nerve transfers within 6 months of injury. These findings can be explained by brachial plexus injuries resulting in more debilitating functional outcomes and a greater capacity for clinical improvement when compared with isolated axillary nerve injuries alone. Shoulder abduction is a complex upper extremity function necessitating the actions of the scapulothoracic and glenohumeral muscles.38–41 The majority of shoulder abduction occurs at the glenohumeral joint with the deltoid serving as the primary abductor. However, there is obligatory scapulothoracic joint involvement in a 2:1 ratio of glenohumeral to scapulothoracic contribution, requiring recruitment of surrounding musculature.38–41 The contribution of additional muscularity in performing shoulder abduction likely contributes to the greater improvement in shoulder abduction in brachial plexus patients receiving multiple nerve transfers.

Limitations
There were limitations of this study to include retrospective analysis and relatively small sample size contributing to notably larger odds ratios. Clinical assessment of deltoid abduction strength contribution has often been debated, given the complexity of the abduction movement and the multiple muscles involved.29,34–36,41 Lastly, this analysis used a relatively low threshold for clinical improvement. As discussed, certain activities of living require less than 40 degrees of abduction.29 In this study of 80 patients, 64 had a pre-operative shoulder abduction MRC strength of 0 or had an inability to abduct their shoulder entirely. While approximately 130 degrees of abduction is required for ipsilateral overhead activities, the authors considered a post-operative improvement of abduction MRC strength to ≥3 or ≥40 degrees of improved abduction to be clinically significant. More stringent parameters for clinical improvement could affect reported outcomes.

CONCLUSIONS
Radial nerve transfers have been shown to be effective in restoring shoulder abduction in both isolated axillary nerve injuries and brachial plexus injuries. Surgical intervention should be performed within the first 6–7 months after injury and the branch of the radial nerve supplying the long head of the triceps may be utilized. Clinical improvement has been noted as early as 3 months post-operatively; however, a long-term follow up is indicated for rehabilitation.
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